Docket No.: 10884-00018-US

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: James J. Modliszewski et al.

Application No.: 10/824,793 Confirmation No.: 6666

Filed: April 14, 2004 Art Unit: 1623

For: DELIVERY SYSTEM OF HOMOGENEOUS, Examiner: Everett White

THERMOREVERSIBLE GEL FILM

CONTAINING KAPPA-2 CARRAGEENAN

MS RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

SUBMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.114

On October 17, 2007, Applicants' representative Paul Fair conducted a personal interview with Examiners Leigh Maier and Everett White in regard to the outstanding rejections in the above identified case. Granting of the personal interview and the professional courtesy and respect shown by the Examiners during the interview were appreciated.

In the Office Action dated November 1, 2006, the Examiner rejected claims 1-27 under 35 USC § 103 as being obvious in view of Gennadios (U.S. Patent 6,214,376). As noted in the Examiner Interview Summary Record, mailed on October 22, 2007, Applicants' representative Paul Fair discussed the outstanding rejections and the differences between the prior art and the claimed invention. More specifically, in conjunction with the discussion of the prior art, Mr. Fair provided background information on the chemistry and properties of carrageenan in general and kappa-2 carrageenan, iota carrageenan and kappa carrageenan in particular (such as known gelling properties and varying degrees of sulfation), and referred to Falshaw, Food Hydrocolloids 15: 441-452, 2001, and Bixler, Food Hydrocolloids 15: 619-630, 2001, both of which are listed on the Examiners Interview Summary Record, to assist the Examiners' understanding of both the cited prior art and the claimed invention. As noted in the Examiner Interview Summary Record,

Application No.: 10/824793 Docket No.: 10884-00018-US

the Examiner agreed to reconsider the properties of kappa-2 carrageenan and the prior art rejections.

Based on the information presented and discussed during the interview, as well as the arguments of record, it is believed that the rejections of claims 1-27 as obvious over Gennadios should be withdrawn.

It is believed that this Submission fully complies with 37 CFR 1.114, as well as complies with Applicants' requirement to file a statement of the substance of the interview.

Accompanying this Submission is an Information Disclosure Statement.

An early and favorable Office Action is respectfully requested.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fees filed, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Deposit Account No. 03-2775, under Order No. 10884-00018-US. A duplicate copy of this paper is enclosed.

Dated: November 1, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Liza D. Hohenschutz

Registration No.: 33,712

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

Siza D. Dohenschutz

1007 North Orange Street

P.O. Box 2207

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

(302) 658-9141

(302) 658-5614 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant

573772